Wesleyan Economics Working Papers

http://repec.wesleyan.edu/ N° : 2005-012

A Simple Proof of the FWL (Frisch-Waugh-Lovell) Theorem

Michael C. Lovell

December 28, 2005 (Rev: 1/3/2007)

Department of Economics Public Affairs Center 238 Church Street Middletown, CT 06459-007

Tel: (860) 685-2340 Fax: (860) 685-2301 http://www.wesleyan.edu/econ

A Simple Proof of the FWL (Frisch-Waugh-Lovell) Theorem*

Michael C. Lovell Wesleyan University Middletown, CT 06457 December 28, 2005 (rev 1/3/07)

Ragnar Frisch and F. V. Waugh (1933) demonstrated a remarkable property of the method of least squares in a paper published in the very first volume of *Econometrica*. Suppose one is fitting by least squares the variable Y_t on a set of k' explanatory variables plus a linear time trend, $t = 1$, 2, …

$$
Y_t = b_0 + b_1 X_{1t} + b_2 X_{2t} + \dots + b_k X_{k't} + dt + e_t
$$
 (1)

As an alternative to the direct application of least squares, they considered the following two-step trend removal procedure:

Step 1: Detrend all the X_{it} and Y_t by first regressing each on the time variable,

$$
X_{it} = c_{i0} + c_{i1}t + e_{it}^{x}
$$
, and (2)

$$
Y_t = c_0 + c_1 t + e_t^y, \qquad (3)
$$

and using the residuals from these least-squares regressions to calculate the detrended variables,

$$
X_{it}^* = \overline{X}_i + e_{it}^x, i = 1, ..., k', \text{ and}
$$
 (4)

$$
Y_t^* = \overline{Y} + e_t^y. \tag{5}
$$

Step 2: Run the detrended regression:

$$
Y_t^* = b_0^* + b_1^* X_{1t}^* + b_2^* X_{2t}^* + \dots + b_k^* X_{k't}^* + e_t^*.
$$
 (6)

Frisch and Waugh proved a surprising proposition:

Exactly the same coefficients are obtained with regression (6), based on detrended variables, as with regression (1), which includes trend as an explanatory variable; i.e., * $b_i^* = b_i$, for $i = 0, ..., k'$.

It is important to note that the fact that the least squares regression coefficients b_i and b_i^* are identical means that neither is superior to the other as an estimator of the unknown parameters β_i of the underlying stochastic process that may be generating the data. It is also true that the residuals $e_t = e_t^*$, which obviously means that they convey the same information about the properties of the unobservable stochastic disturbances ε_t .

Lovell (1963) generalized their result by showing that the same regression coefficients will be obtained not just with a trend variable but with seasonal variables or indeed *any* non-empty subset of the explanatory variables in a regression. This result is variously known as the "FWL," the "Frisch-Waugh-Lovell," the "Frisch-Waugh" or the "decomposition" theorem.

^{*} I am indebted to Mauro S. Ferreira and Michael S. Hanson for helpful comments on an earlier draft of this paper.

The FWL Theorem

Suppose we partition the explanatory variables of a k variable multiple regression into any two non-empty sets, one consisting of k' variables X_{it} on which our attention is primarily focused and the other a set of $k'' = k - k'$ auxiliary variables D_{it} :¹

$$
Y_{t} = b_{1}X_{1t} + b_{2}X_{2t} + ... + b_{k}X_{k't} + d_{1}D_{1t} + d_{2}D_{2t} + ... + d_{k}D_{k't} + e_{t}.
$$
\n(7)

Now consider the alternative least-squares regression equation:

$$
Y_t^* = b_1^* X_{1t}^* + b_2^* X_{2t}^* + \dots + b_k^* X_{k't}^* + e_t^*
$$
\n⁽⁸⁾

Here the Y_t^* and X_{it}^* are "cleansed" values of the dependent variable and the focus subset of the explanatory variables:

$$
Y_t^* = \overline{Y} + e_i^y \text{ and}
$$

\n
$$
X_{it}^* = \overline{X}_i + e_{it}^x, i = 1 ... k',
$$
\n(9)

where e_i^y and the e_{ii}^x are the least squares residuals obtained from the auxiliary regressions

$$
Y_t = a_{y1}D_{1t} + \dots + a_{yk}D_{k^*t} + e_t^y
$$
\n(10)

$$
X_{it} = a_{i1}D_{1t} + ... + a_{ik}D_{k^{n}t} + e_{it}^{x}, i = 1 ... k'.
$$
 (11)

Then:

$$
b_i^* = b_i \text{ for } i = 1, ..., k' \text{ and } (12)
$$

$$
e_t^* = e_t. \tag{13}
$$

Frisch and Waugh had employed Cramer's Rule in proving their trend theorem whereas Lovell (1963, 1007-8) used matrix algebra in establishing the more general FWL Theorem. Davidson and MacKinnon (1999, 62-9) presented both a geometric demonstration and a matrix proof of the result in their econometrics textbook; Green (2003, p 26-7) and Johnston and Dinardo (1997, pp 101-3) employed matrix algebra in their texts.

In this note I will use simple algebra in showing how the FWL theorem can be easily derived from two well-known numerical properties of the method of least squares:

- Property 1. The residuals from a least squares regression are uncorrelated with the explanatory variables.
- Property 2. The coefficients of a subset of the explanatory variables in a regression equation will be zero if those variables are uncorrelated with both the dependent variable and the other explanatory variables.²

² This is easily seen in the simplest case of only two explanatory variables: the first multiple regression coefficient, given the presence of x_2 , is $b_{1,2} = (\sum yx_1 \cdot \sum x_2^2 - \sum yx_2 \cdot \sum x_1x_2)/[\sum x_1^2 \cdot \sum x_2^2 - (\sum x_1x_2)^2]$, which reduces to $b_1 = \sum y x_1 / \sum x_1^2$ if $\sum x_1 x_2 = n s_{x_1} s_{x_2} r_{12} = 0$; if in addition $r_{x,y} = 0$, then $b_1 = 0$.

¹ To ease notation I adopt the standard convention of subsuming the intercept in with the other explanatory variables by setting all values of an additional explanatory variable identically equal to one.

Proof:

Substituting (10) into (7) yields

$$
e_t^y = b_1 e_{1t}^x + ... + b_k e_{k't}^x + (b_1 a_{11} + ... + b_k a_{k'1} + d_1 - a_{y1}) D_{1t} + ... + (b_1 a_{1k''} + ... + b_k a_{k'k''} + d_{k''} - a_{yk''}) D_{k''t} + e_t.
$$
\n(14)

Because auxiliary equations (10) are fitted by the method of least squares, Property 1 implies that the residuals e_i^x and e_i^y from those regressions are uncorrelated with the D_i explanatory variables. Therefore, all the regression coefficients of the D_i in (14) are zero, thanks to Property 2, which means that precisely the same b_i are obtained when the D_{it} are dropped from the regression; that is,

$$
e_i^y = b_1 e_{1t}^x + b_2 e_{2t}^x + \dots + b_k e_{k't}^x + e_t \tag{15}
$$

Adding the identity $\overline{Y} = b_1 \overline{X}_1 + b_2 \overline{X}_2 + ... b_k \overline{X}_k$ to (15) yields

$$
\overline{Y} + e_t^y = b(\overline{X}_1 + e_{1t}^x) + b_2(\overline{X}_2 + e_{2t}^x) + \dots + b_k(\overline{X}_{k'} + e_{k't}^x) + e_t,
$$
\n(16)

which by (9) is equation (8), thus establishing that the least square coefficients b_i^* of equation (8) are identical to the b_i of equation (7) and that $e_i^* = e_i$.

COMMENTS

- 1. There are $n k < n k'$ degrees of freedom in regressions (8) and (15) as well as (7). Therefore, execution of either regression (8) or (15) with a standard least-squares regression computer program neglecting this complication will yield too small a value for the standard error of the estimate, \overline{S}_e , and exaggerated t and p-values for the regression coefficients(Lovell 1963, 1002-3).
- 2. Because the least squares residuals calculated with regressions (7) and (8) are identical, precisely the same Durbin-Watson statistics will be generated.
- 3. The application of Aitkens Generalized Least Squares to regression equation (8) or (15) will result in less efficient estimates than its direct application to regression (7) (Lovell 1963, 1004).
- 4. Precisely the same regression coefficients but different residuals are generated when *Yt* instead of Y_t^* is used as the dependent variable in (8) (Lovell 1963, 1001).

REFERENCES:

- Davidson, R. and J. G. MacKinnon. 2004. *Econometric theory and methods.* New York: Oxford University Press.
- Frisch, R. and F.V.Waugh. 1933. .Partial time regression as compared with individual trends. *Econometrica* 1 (October): 387-401.
- Green, W. H. 2003. *Econometric Analysis*. 5th ed., Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall.

Johnston, J. and J. Dinardo.1997. *Econometric methods. 4th ed.* New York: McGraw Hill/Irwin.

Lovell, M. C. 1963. Seasonal adjustment of economic time series and multiple regression analysis. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 58 (December): 993-l0l0.